Attorney Docket No: LP-02-019

Response to Restriction Application No. 10/789,105

1.2

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed September 1, 2005, Applicants hereby elect Group I, corresponding to Claims 1-7. Further, Applicants elect Species I-a, drawn to the use of IGF-II, and submit that Claims 1-7 read on Species I-a. Finally, with respect to the election of Species II, identification of a specific gene, Applicants respectfully submit that the election of Group I renders this moot, as Claim 1-7 are all directed to administration of a differentiation factor, not to the use of a gene. However, if election is still deemed necessary, Applicants elect Species II-a, drawn to the use of IGF-II, and submit that Claims 1-7 read on Species II-a. Accordingly, Applicants have withdawn Claims 8-17.

Applicants accordingly respectfully request examination and consideration of the subject application in view of the foregoing amendments.

Respectfully submitted,

FRANCIS LAW GROUP

By:

Raiph C. Francis Reg. No. 38,884

Dated: October 3, 2005

1942 Embarcadero Oakland, CA 94606

Tel: 510.533.1100

OFE WORK BY

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Service on this date <u>October 3, 2005</u> in an envelope as "First Class Mail" addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Name of person mailing document